

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/780,222	02/09/2001	Andrew M. Schwarzbauer	38916/24384	5359	
21888 7	590 05/01/2003				
	COBURN, LLP	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
ONE FIRSTAF SUITE 3500	RPLAZA	HENDERSON, MARK T			
ST LOUIS, MO 63101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3722	110	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003	W	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						SA			
			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/780,22	2	SCHWARZBAUER ET AL.					
	Offic Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit				
			Mark T He	nderson	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)[<	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>2</u>	25 March 2003						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims	ionoc unc	ici Ex parte di	Jayre, 1000 O.D. 11, -	700 0.0. 210.				
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 15</u> is/are rejected	l.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) 10-14 is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction an	d/or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)[2]	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February</u>				-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) \square Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment	(s)		-						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		š)		y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9302 (Official) and (703)872-9303 (for After Finals). This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Response to Amendment

1. After further consideration, the finality of the rejection of the last Office action has been withdrawn. Claims 1-20 are now rejected using new prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

Terminal Disclaimer

2. The terminal disclaimer filed on March 17, 2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent 6,352,287 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the featured limitation of a "breakaway layer... within the periphery of the primary film", as stated in Claim 1, line 6, must be shown or canceled from the claim. Furthermore, the limitation of a base paper layer and secondary film layer having a periphery adhesively bonded wherein the die cut information card consisting only of the "base paper layer, secondary film layer and the breakaway layer", as stated in Claim 2, lines 11 and 12, must also be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222 Page 4

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer (6,328,340).

Fischer discloses in Fig. 3a and 3b, a form with an integrated card comprising: a primary film (21) having top and bottom surfaces and a periphery; a breakaway layer (22) constructed of translucent urethane acrylic, and capable of accepting printed indicia (Col. 5, lines 20-25) and disposed between the primary layer (21, wherein the breakaway layer is adhesively coated on top) and a secondary layer (28); a die-cuts (31); and a base paper layer (1) having top and bottom surfaces; an adhesive layer (27) that secures the base paper layer (1) to the breakaway layer; and an information card comprised of the die-cut base paper (1), adhesive layer (27), and the breakaway layer (22); and wherein the breakaway layer has a greater affinity for the adhesive layer than the top surface of the primary film layer such that when the card is removed, the breakaway layer stays adhered tot he bottom surface of the adhesive layer.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222 Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

However, Fischer does not disclose: a breakaway layer composed of a material having a thickness that varies at different points along the primary film layer: a breakaway layer having release levels that vary in a predetermined pattern; a lower release level adjacent the periphery of the primary film layer; .

In regards to Claims 1 and 7, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the various release levels of the breakaway layer at any desirable location, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

In regards to **Claim 6**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the breakaway layer of any desirable material that varies in thickness at different points, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

In regards to **Claim 8**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the die-cuts at any desirable location, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222 Page 6

Art Unit: 3722

5. Claims 1-9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steidinger et al (6,350,342).

Steidinger et al discloses in Fig. 4, a form with an integrated card comprising: a primary film (35) having top and bottom surfaces and a periphery; a breakaway layer (37) directly and removably bonded to the primary film layer (35); a secondary film layer (38) bonded to the breakaway layer (37); a die-cuts (33); and a base paper layer (31) adhesively bonded (adhesive 38) to the secondary film layer; and an information card consisting of the die-cut base paper (31), secondary film layer (38), and the breakaway layer (37); wherein the breakaway layer is removably bonded to the primary film layer and permanently bonded to the secondary film layer.

However, Steidinger et al does not disclose: wherein the breakaway layer is composed of a material having a thickness that varies at different points along the primary film layer: a breakaway layer having release levels that vary in a predetermined pattern; a lower release level adjacent the periphery of the primary film layer.

In regards to Claims 1 and 7, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the various release levels of the breakaway layer at any desirable location, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

In regards to **Claim 6**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the breakaway layer of any desirable material that varies in thickness at different points, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222

Art Unit: 3722

worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a

matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

In regards to Claim 8, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to place the die-cuts at any desirable location, since it has been

held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86

USPQ 70.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 10-14 are finally objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art References

The prior art references listed in the attached PTO-892, but not used in a rejection of the

claims, are cited for (their/its) structure. Stewart and Steidinger et al disclose a form with an

integrated card.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222

Art Unit: 3722

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 15 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can

be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on

(703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700

receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

MTH

April 28, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**

Page 8